

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/557,696

Applicant(s)

BI ET AL.

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:

(1) Brian R. Gordon.

(2) Peter S. Dardi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004

Time: 10:40am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Dardi that the call was in response to status inquiry previously requested via voice mail by Mr. Dardi. The examiner informed Mr. Dardi that the after final amendment filed February 18, 2004, had been recieved and would be entered and considered by the examiner. The examiner would also further discuss the issues with SPE..


Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700